

WICHITA HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD MINUTES
14 MAY 2001
CITY HALL, 455 N. MAIN, 10TH FLOOR-MAPD CONFERENCE ROOM
3:00 P.M.

The regular meeting of the Historic Preservation Board was held Monday, May 14, 2001, at 3:00 P.M. in the Metropolitan Area Planning Department's Conference Room, City Hall-Tenth Floor, 455 N. Main, Wichita, Kansas.

Members Present: Randal Steiner
Paul Cavanaugh
Claire Willenberg
Jim Guy
Sam Lentz (in at 3:07p.m.)

Staff Present: Kathy Morgan, Historic Preservation Planner
Valerie Robinson, Recording Secretary

Intern: Angela Heger

Absent: Keith Lawing
Stan Shelden
Mike Gable, OCI Residential Permits

Ex Officio: Heidi Dressler-Kelly, City Historian

ITEM NO. 1 ROLL CALL

The meeting was called to order and board members stated their name.

ITEM NO. 2 ADDITIONS OR ADJUSTMENTS TO THE AGENDA

MORGAN: I have 2 cases, C of A 2001-00058 and 2001-00064.

ITEM NO. 3 REVOLVING LOAN FUND UPDATE

Revolving Loan Fund – Residential	\$42,950.18
Revolving Loan Fund – Non-residential	\$95,000
Deferred Loan Fund – Residential	\$100,000

We have 2 projects pending a meeting with the staff review committee and two new applications to process.

MORGAN: We had a meeting to discuss the three pending applications. We have a couple of additional items that the staff review committee requested and we hope to be closing or packaging these projects in the next two weeks.

ITEM NO. 4 CORRESPONDENCE

1. PSIQ for 1721 Park Place– *Eric Lugger had requested assistance with getting the property listed. At this point, the SHPO has requested additional photographs.*
2. Letter regarding Stewart Court Apartments– This property is an Undesignated Historic Resource and according to the Historic Preservation Ordinance, the Preservation Planner may delay demolition for up to 60 days. I don't know that we will be able to save the structure because of lack of financial resources. We are attempting to sell historic tax credits.

MORGAN: I gave you a copy of the site information questionnaire for 1721. We are working on getting that listed for the State Register.

The letter regarding the Stewart Court Apartments, they were trying to find a "for profit" entity to partner with Parallax so that they could by pass the tax credits and provide some operation capital to save that building. It is not going to happen. I guess what we are going to do, and I am asking for approval from the board to do this, is send a letter to Parallax, giving them permission to demolish the one-story garage structures on the east side of the property and I think it is the 930 N. Broadway building. I want them in the demolition process to make sure that the front part of the property, the retaining walls, etc., are maintained. They are going to make a courtyard area, and extend the courtyard for those apartments. That was the condition for the permit being issued, to do everything possible to maintain that retaining wall and stair system. The grade is 2' feet above the sidewalk or street level.

CAVANAUGH: Do you need a motion to that effect?

MORGAN: No. If you have any discussion, I guess it is up to the Preservation Planner to give permission for the demolition for undesignated structures. I just wanted to know if you had any ideas or comments on it before I issued the letter to Parallax?

CAVANAUGH: I feel comfortable with those issues.

STEINER: I think that on that wall, they should actually wrap that with plywood prior to starting because sometimes they are damaged and the plywood would help protect it a little bit.

GUY: That is a good idea.

MORGAN: Like a ¾ " or what?

STEINER: Most anything or some 5/8" inch OSB.

MORGAN: Okay, I will add that to the letter.

STEINER: Because once it is gone, it is a whole other issue, or it's damaged badly.

ITEM NO. 5 APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM THE 12 FEBRUARY 2001 MEETING, 12 MARCH 2001 MEETING AND 16 APRIL 2001 MEETING

I have included copies of the minutes from all three meetings. We need a quorum of the following board members to approve:

February minutes – Keith, Claire, Randal, Jim and Sam

March minutes – Paul, Claire, Jim and Randal

April minutes – Paul, Keith, Claire, Jim, Randal, and Stan

CAVANAUGH: Let's tackle the minutes issue.

MORGAN: Why not suspend that until we get the rest of the board present.

GUY: It looks like with the minutes, Randal, Cavanaugh, Willenberg and I were here on the March and April meeting.

CAVANAUGH: I move to approve the March and April minutes as presented.

MOTION #1 (Willenberg: Motioned)
 (Guy 2nd) Motion carried unanimously (4-0)

GUY: I would move to approve the February 12, 2001, minutes as presented.

MOTION #4 (Guy: Motioned)
(Lentz 2nd) Motion carried unanimously (5-0)

ITEM NO. 6 OLD BUSINESS

NONE

ITEM NO. 7 CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS APPLICATIONS

1. **MINOR:** (HPC2001-00028) Environs Steinbuchel House
 APPLICANT: Tim Flynn
 FOR: 1955 N. Market

Applicant proposes to repair/replace flooring for front and rear porch using same type materials.

2. **MINOR:** (HPC2001-00030) Environs Hackberry
 Archaeological Site
 APPLICANT: Kim Russell
 FOR: 2216 Ridgewood Drive

Applicant proposes to replace roof with same type materials – composite shingle.

3. **MINOR:** (HPC2001-00032) East Douglas Historic District
 APPLICANT: Wray Roofing
 FOR: 618 E. Douglas

Applicant proposes to re-roof and replace flashing on parapet walls.

4. **MINOR:** (HPC2001-00033) Environs Orpheum Theater
 APPLICANT: Mahaney Roofing
 FOR: 257 N. Broadway

Applicant proposes to re-roof a portion of the existing built up roof.

5. **MINOR:** (HPC2001-00034) Environs Hackberry
 Archaeological Site
 APPLICANT: Wichita Roofing and Remodeling
 FOR: 2228 S. McAdam

Applicant proposes to re-roof with 3-tab composite shingles.

6. **MINOR:** (HPC2001-00035) Environs Eaton Hotel/Wholesale Grocery
 APPLICANT: Wray Roofing
 FOR: 301 S. St. Francis

Applicant proposes to re-roof with 3-ply built up material.

7. **MINOR:** (HPC2001-00036) East Douglas Historic District
 APPLICANT: Roof Mechanics
 FOR: 150 N. St. Francis

Applicant proposes to re-roof with rubberized material.

WICHITA HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD MINUTES

14 MAY 2001

Page 4 of 12

8. **MINOR:** (HPC2001-00037) Environs Wholesale Grocery
 APPLICANT: Ron Sign Company
 FOR: 305 S. St. Francis

Applicant proposes to change existing sign face.

9. **MINOR:** (HPC2001-00039) Environs Burton Stock Car
 Building
 APPLICANT: Law/Kingdon Contractors
 FOR: 3120 N. Mead

Applicant proposes to build new manufacturing facility.

10. **MINOR:** (HPC2001-00042) Old Sedgwick County
 Courthouse
 APPLICANT: Theresa Greer
 FOR: 1234 N. Emporia

Applicant proposes to tuck point and do other material repairs.

11. **MINOR:** (HPC2001-00046) Environs Lassen Hotel
 APPLICANT: Bob Weninger Roofing
 FOR: 119 E. Douglas

Applicant proposes to repair built-up roof with 2 layers dry felt and tar.

12. **MINOR:** (HPC2001-00050) Environs Wholesale Grocery
 APPLICANT: George Lay Signs
 FOR: 223 S. St. Francis

Applicant proposes to replace existing aluminum wall signs to reflect occupancy of tenant space.

13. **MINOR:** (HPC2001-00051) Environs Wheeler, Kelley and Hagney
 Building
 APPLICANT: A1 Party Concepts
 FOR: 111 W. Douglas

Applicant proposes to put up poster signs, sandwich board sign and banners for River Festival activities.

Minor Certificates of Appropriateness: *With the exception of Item #9, staff reviewed and approved minor C of A's 28, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 42, 46, 50, and 51. Item #9, Major C of A HPC2001-00039 was approved by an e-mail vote. Board needs to make a motion to receive and file the above listed C of A's.*

MORGAN: I have one C of A I need to explain, so that you know, it is not a normal file and receive. Item #9 the Environs of the Burton Stock Car Building, we did this new construction through e-mail vote. I think that was the only one that was unusual, the rest are normal roofing or sign facing changes, etc.

GUY: That being the case I would so move that HPC2001-00028, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 39, 42, 46, 50 and 51 be approved, or received and filed.

MOTION #5 (Guy: Motioned)
 (Lentz 2nd) Motion carried unanimously (5-0)

14. **MAJOR:** (HPC2001-00054) Topeka/Emporia Historic District

APPLICANT: Linda Saad
FOR: 1339 N. Emporia

Applicant proposes to place a pre-fabricated storage building on property. Staff finds that the portable structure will not negatively impact the property or the surrounding historic district and recommends approval with the condition that siting of the storage building meet setback requirements as approved by Office of Central Inspection.

MORGAN: This is the alley side, which would be the west side of this house right here; it is the second house up from Sharon's, if you can picture that. This is the purple house or the lavender house on N. Emporia, she had a privacy fence, it has been taken down, and she wants to put up a small, little metal shed. People are stealing things out of her yard, her tools and stuff; she wanted to put up a shed. I thought she was going to be here today. I told her that she would have to meet the lot setback requirements and present OCI with a site plan. She did not provide that to me on this application. I thought she would have it to me before now.

CAVANAUGH: Do we need to defer this until next time?

GUY: Since her dog died, she has lost a bunch of stuff.

MORGAN: I don't have a problem with it. It is not a permanent building, she is not putting it on a slab or anything, and it is easily removed. We can require that she bring that information in to be put on file.

GUY: It is an 8' x 10' foot metal building, from Sutherlands, that is generic. She is going to have to comply with the setback anyway. The thing can't get up and move away maybe they will steal it, hopefully not. However, under the circumstances I move that HPC C of A 2001-00054 be approved as presented, if she provides some type of site plan.

MOTION #6 (Guy: Motioned)
(Lentz 2nd) Motion carried unanimously (5-0)

15. **MAJOR:** (HPC2001-00055) Sen. Chester Long House
APPLICANT: Dr. and Mrs. Paul Uhlig/Austin Miller, P.A. agent
FOR: 3401 E. 2nd

Applicant proposes to enclose an area of the carriage house adjacent to the pool and request zone change from TF3 to MF 75 or Neighborhood Office to allow for medical spa/convalescent center.

The Senator Chester Long House is a triple listed property. Applicant proposes to request zone change from TF3 to B-Multifamily or Neighborhood Office to allow for medical spa/convalescent center and to modify the site as indicated on the site plan. Staff met with Kim Edgington, Austin Miller Associates, early in the process and advised that the use change would require a zone change and recommended that they meet with College Hill Neighborhood Association before any further processing of the application. College Hill Neighborhood Association executive committee is supposed to tour the facility Friday, May 4 and will have a representative at the May 14 HPB meeting.

The applicant wants to convert the carriage house to medical clinic to provide services to patients (up to 14) housed in the main structure. Initial discussion with the agent indicated there wouldn't be any major interior remodeling of the main structure, but I think we need more information on that. They are proposing to use the existing driveway off 2nd Street and create required parking spaces in what is now yard area. The only exterior change to any of the structures is to the carriage house with the lanai next to the pool being enclosed (see attached site plan).

This project could be approved for the zone change by using a Protective Overlay that would limit the permitted uses of the property to only those uses permitted by the P-O. Should the property be re-sold or the medical clinic goes out of business, uses on the site would still be restricted. There is a drawback

to this in that it could set a precedent to allow further commercial encroachment into the neighborhood east of Hillside to Rutan.

College Hill Design Guidelines recommends retaining residential features, in this case, part of the front yard area would be replaced with on-site parking. If re-zoned to B-Multifamily, parking in the front yard would be allowed. This is in direct contradiction to the College Hill Design Guidelines and is a major consideration for environs review. This issue has previously been raised at 3220 E. 2nd Street, with the OBGYN Clinic demolishing a house to develop a surface parking lot. The board did deny that use and the decision stands. However, if the medical clinic use were allowed, this could re-open that issue.

One of the arguments put forward by the agent is one of allowing a registered property to continue to decline because of it being vacant. I have no first hand knowledge that the property is in need of major repair, as there have been no minor housing code violations issued. This property has been on the market for 2 years. However, that could be a factor of the asking price being too high. I think that the new state historic tax credit could make this property more viable for a residential use.

Staff recommends that the proposed zone change to allow commercial use be denied.

MORGAN: Applicant requested to defer this until the next meeting because the owners of the property could not attend. I believe you have the site plan that was submitted. I can tell you this that the College Hill Neighborhood Association Executive Committee met with the agents and toured the property and they are planning on being at the next meeting when the case is heard. I have it scheduled for our June 11, board meeting.

CAVANAUGH: Are they not in favor of this situation, the College Hill Group?

MORGAN: I have not received anything in writing from them. With this being deferred, I don't know if it is appropriate for me to make any kind of statement to that. I would recommend that the board members go by and look at that before the next meeting. When I looked at the site plan it appeared to me that there was a lot more room, then when you get out there on site and start looking at how small the front yard is, etc.

GUY: I wonder if they are going to ever put an elevator in that place, a 3-story house.

MORGAN: I don't know, with that being, a National Register listed property; I don't know that they would have to do that. As long as it was accessible to the ground floor, if they had patients that needed that kind of facility, I don't know that they would have to do anything.

STEINER: I know I have seen some things going on where they are having to make things pretty accessible to staff who they are going to hire. Seemingly, I would think would be excessive, so they do need to check that out, because they may have to have an elevator or a lift.

MORGAN: I thought I would show you something we don't often get to see. This is the finished product of that house on South Market.

GUY: The one with the sagging roof?

MORGAN: Yes, the one with the sagging porch roof and we asked them to put two more piers in there and put up some kind of railing.

WILLENBERG: Kind of a strange little railing.

MORGAN: It's new, but it's different.

GUY: It looks so much better than it did.

MORGAN: I thought so. It is painted and they have everything going with it.

CAVANAUGH: Good.

WILLENBERG: Cool.

GUY: You're right; you seldom get to see how things turn out, the finished product.

MORGAN: I normally don't get around to getting pictures taken, but we were looking at other properties and drove by that and I thought it was interesting that for once something turned out the way we told them that we wanted it to.

CAVANAUGH: That's great.

MORGAN: That is all that I have, except for the miscellaneous items.

- | | | |
|-----|---|---|
| 16. | MAJOR:
APPLICANT:
FOR: | (HPC2001-00058) Environs Engine House #6
Kansas Fire Fighters Museum
1300 S. Broadway |
|-----|---|---|

Applicant proposes to erect a fallen firefighter memorial in Lincoln Park.

MORGAN: This is in Lincoln Park. I have Sam Cox, BK Owens and Tom Montgomery from Gossen and Livingston. The Fire Fighters Memorial that's at the fire station at the corner of Main and Murdock is crumbling and they are wanting to relocate it and plus the fact they don't have room to do any kind of ceremonies at that location. They want to, with the new Water Park, they want to put that next to the Fire Engine House Number #6, the historic site. If you look up here on the slide, here is the tennis court; the Fire Station is back here. They are talking about locating it somewhere up closer to the Fire Station. Tom or BK has a rendering to show you.

BK OWENS: This is the existing Fire Station Historic Building. There is the drive approach right now that's already been installed, with street pavers. The area we are talking about, is the plaza area, which will link in with one of the existing lots in the park, here is the tennis court. What the idea is, this is the plaza area here, with these black pavers to pick up some of the same colors and same materials as used in the park renovations. It has three flagpoles on the backside of it. It would be set up so that this could have temporary seating for special ceremonies, that sort of thing, the chairs will be taken down and put away. There is a Fire fighter's sculpture that goes in this area. I think we have some pictures of that. Then there is a memorial wall, and the wall will have plaques on this side here closest to the building. It kind of forms a bit of a, separates from this area here that will be for ceremonies, and then this area back here will be quiet meditation area right here. It has a bit of an angle where it opens up and you can see a bit of the sculpture out on the plaza. This area in here will have donor bricks, with the names of people who contribute to the project. On this side, the picture of what that donor wall will look like. The side facing the memorial area will look like this. The side that faces towards this part of the building looks like this and has the plaques engraved on the wall.

STEINER: So what is that wall made of?

OWENS: Construction is...brick.

STEINER: Will it match that brick on the building somewhere?

OWENS: Similar. We will try to repeat detailing of the brick construction on the wall.

STEINER: How long is it?

OWENS: The wall itself, we just revised it not too long ago. The wall itself I think is about 40' feet long.

TOM MONTGOMERY: I think we calculated about 20' feet I think.

OWENS: Yeah, you are probably right.

TOM MONTGOMERY: The building is 25' feet wide.

OWENS: The building is 50' feet from here to here.

CAVANAUGH: And how high?

OWENS: About 6' feet high. We had the same bricks at the firehouse at the time we were working on this site. We were also working on the Keen Kutter Place; the brick were a perfect match. We just had repairs on this building and have used the Keen Kutter bricks to match, because they were very close. This shows a little more weather than the others do.

STEINER: Now, if you would turn that plan back, the three squares, what are they.

OWENS: That is the base for the flags.

STEINER: Is there any kind of wall along there?

OWENS: No.

STEINER: That is open to the park and the tennis courts.

OWENS: This area was identified as a future building extension.

STEINER: I had done the planning on the water park, the Lincoln Park, and I know that the tennis courts are going to be coming out sometime soon. I don't know exactly what the plans are, but Larry down in parks might know that.

CAVANAUGH: I think we need to worry more about church parking lots taking over the site don't we? It's tough to tell Tom.

GUY: I think we had better go over this quickly.

CAVANAUGH: Any questions board? Thank you Tom, we will turn the discussion back to the board. Are we ready for a motion? I guess I will move that we approve Major C of A 2001-00058 as proposed.

MOTION #2 (Cavanaugh: Motioned)
(Steiner 2nd) Motion carried unanimously (5-0)

17. **MAJOR:** (HPC2001-00064)
APPLICANT: Jim Austerland
FOR: Sedgwick County Facilities Management

MORGAN: If you all will recall last year we had a case from the 622 Building which is just to the east of this building right here. The County purchased that and they are converting it into some administrative offices and a treatment facility or adult residential center. They bought the buildings at 622. Then there was another building facing Pine St. that we gave them permission to change the courtyard area between the two. They are now coming back; they are going to keep this building for a maintenance structure. They want to demolish this structure, which is a more modern structure, to put parking to support these facilities. This is facing Central. It is in the environs of the Judge Wall House. In addition, on your site plan Jim has indicated this building sits on the corner of St. Francis and at some future point, they would take that out for even more parking. Jim indicated they would be willing to do what ever

landscaping required to create an edge on that corner to make the parking lot appear more than just a surface lot with asphalt and concrete on it.

CAVANAUGH: That would be nice.

MORGAN: I could not find any reason why not to say that there was no significant negative impact to the Judge Wall House on that.

GUY: That is just a distinctive look to the whole area.

MORGAN: Jim do you have any idea what you might do to landscape that?

AUSTERLAND: If you look at the sketch where it shows the residential facility and then also the dormitory part, the lot that we want to demo, as she shows the Wades building will give us 38 parking spots which gives us the minimal which we need to meet code hopefully on June 1. Then we will purchase lots to the corner, lots 52, 54,56. Three buildings will be demolished. If you drive by, it is quite a blight area. That building on the corner is a taxicab rehab garage. There is another building, which is facing St. Francis, an old car dealer shack. Then right behind there is a white house that has been boarded up and abandoned for quite some time. So all of those will be ultimately demolished, and then we will do a continuous parking lot for approximately 80 cars. We will keep all the trees in the area; make all the islands rounded. I anticipate doing an iron fence and really doing some nice landscaping. So effectively from Santa Fe all the way to St. Francis, it will be our facility-facing Central to look very nice. We will do landscaping much more over and above the City's minimal requirements. We want to make that a real showplace. We want to clean that corner up.

MORGAN: That is the rear of that building; I forgot I had that.

AUSTERLAND: I am doing it in two phases because we have not entered into a contract yet with the lots on the corner, however we do own the lots to be demo-ed and also the lot, we are under contract with the lot right adjacent with our property, which gives me the needed parking I have to have.

CAVANAUGH: All right, any questions of Jim? Do we have a motion?

MOTION #3 (Lentz: Motioned)
(Steiner 2nd) Motion carried unanimously (5-0)

CAVANAUGH: Let's quickly back up and do the minutes. I believe we now have a quorum of members that were present there, Clair, Randal, Jim and Sam. Would anyone like to move to approve.

ITEM NO. 8 MISCELLANEOUS MATTERS

1. **June 11 HPB meeting will be held at Cowtown.** Board needs to make a motion to suspend approval of minutes and considerations of minor C of A's at this meeting. We will begin the meeting at 3 p.m. and conclude with a tour of the facility.

MORGAN: I need the board to make a motion to suspend all regular business of the board except for the hearing of the Major Certificate of Appropriateness Applications. We will take care of at the July meeting. But if we could suspend the reading of the minutes and accepting and filing the minors and everything else but the major C of A 's that would help us to have enough time to provide the tour of Cowtown and take care of our business and be out of there before the park closes on the 11th.

CAVANAUGH: Do we have a motion?

MOTION #7 (Guy: Motioned)
(Willenberg 2nd) Motion carried unanimously (5-0)

2. Board member appointment dates

<u>Member's Name</u>	<u>Appointed</u>	<u>Re-appointed</u>
Sam Lentz	07-22-93	07-13-99
Paul Cavanaugh	06-20-95	07-13-99
Stan Sheldon	06-20-95	06-22-99
Claire Willenberg	06-20-95	06-15-99
Jim Guy	06-20-95	06-15-99
Keith Lawing	09-21-99	
Randal Steiner	08-01-00	

According to my records, Sam is the only member that cannot be reappointed. Jim, you must have served 8 years, but not consecutively. This does provide some pause for thought that we will be losing four veteran board members in 2003. We need to get some folks in training.

MORGAN: The board member appointment dates, did you all look at that? In the staff report, Sam is the only one who is going to be replaced. Four of you in 2003 we are going to have to replace, so we need to be thinking about trying to cultivate some folks for you all to recommend when you leave so that we can maintain some continuity.

WILLENBERG: Sam could come back.

MORGAN: Yes, Sam could come back after he has been off for two years. He can be reappointed.

CAVANAUGH: Is that what it is, two years?

MORGAN: You have to be off a term, and then you can come back and serve another consecutive 8 years. Marvin had indicated to me that City Council was thinking about removing those stipulations for board members, but I don't know that that has gone anywhere. I have not received any notice for the City Clerk's office. I think they are the ones who give me notice when we need reappointments and letters of intent, whatever it was we did in 1999 when we had to redo that.

WILLENBERG: You know that all this time I have never talked directly to my Councilperson.

MORGAN: Low profile.

GUY: I e-mailed mine a lot.

WILLENBERG: I called a lot. I would eventually get a message back.

GUY: I have never gotten e-mail back.

3. Report on Award Nominations

MORGAN: Remember from the board retreat, I said we would do up something regarding the awards. Well, Angela did talk to the Orpheum and I'm going to let her give this report.

ANGELA HEGER: Kathy just asked about doing something in January or February 2002, so, I called about their schedule and so far, they only have something scheduled for the last weekend in January 2002, at this time.

MORGAN: The only thing we really have to think about is if we want to do this in the manner in which Paul recommended and I don't see any problem doing that, but we need to find a sponsor to help pay to bring the artist Carla Burns. You will have to forgive my ignorance, but I don't recognize that name.

CAVANAUGH: Well she is a singer trained here in Wichita, and then she went on to International singing, then Broadway, New York, and in France.

MORGAN: I would presume someone at the Orpheum would know how to contact her.

WILLENBERG: She has been there recently.

CAVANAUGH: She is a really, really, sweet person, and wonderful singer.

MORGAN: It sounds like we need to contact the Orpheum to find out how much it would cost to bring her here and how much it would cost to offset the tickets and etc.

Did we set a date for this? November 15, 2001, we are going to put this on the Internet and try to do some advertising with it and have applications come back in by the 15, 2001, and then hopefully by then we will have an idea what our date might be for the event. We had talked about naming an award after Millie and since the Heritage Award was for a person, a specific preservation volunteer person, we thought we would add that to the Heritage Award name.

GUY: I think that is very appropriate.

MORGAN: If you all would agree to that. If you would like a chance to look this over, this is pretty much the same as we have done in the past with the explanation as to what the award is. We just added the information that we need to submit about who nominated it, and who are they nominating, description of the project. We have asked that slides be submitted so that, when we do the event, we can do it like KPA did at the Preservation Awards, showing the project and the person involved with the activity associated with the award that they are receiving. We will need to figure out if we want to go with a framed plaque. We will need to get a sponsor to pay the awards, to help defray the cost of Carla Burns and so that we can get the plaques, or have someone design some kind of preservation award or something. That could get into some money casting that though, so I don't know how we want to do that.

STEINER: I was thinking that as a sponsor you might want to ask Keith, because you were going to ask him about some other stuff. Certainly, I would imagine that they did well, like with the Eaton Place.

CAVANAUGH: Plaques are an enormous expense.

MORGAN: We are probably looking at \$75.00 to get something nice.

STEINER: Is that a plastic one or what?

MORGAN: Wood.

CAVANAUGH: Wood base with the metal plaque engraving.

STEINER: I had one done, but it was more than that. They used a laser and they cut it, of course, they cut it very crisply into like a walnut base. I'm sure the cost has come down from when I had that done.

MORGAN: If you want to, the only thing I would have to have is a basic design, and then I could ask purchasing to request bids on that for the plaques. I could do that so we could see what kind of cost we are looking at, if you want to do that. What other things did we discuss at the Board Retreat?

HEGER: To set up a meeting with Marvin about the downtown parking that Stan had brought up.

MORGAN: We are trying to discuss that with Marvin, and he said we needed to get the special assessment district board people involved. His concern was how are we going to get the City to assist in paying for the parking garage and have these people pay their own way and all that kind of stuff. We are

WICHITA HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD MINUTES

14 MAY 2001

Page 12 of 12

going to talk to Allen Bell. Marvin has been busy, I have only been able to get to him on a few things, but Angela has not been able to get with him on whom with that district we need to talk to or invite to a meeting.

Meeting adjourned at 3:40 p.m.